

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/823,509	03/29/2001	Dennis Sunga Fernandez	FERN-P001C	8530	
22877	7590 12/21/2005		EXAMINER		
FERNANDI	EZ & ASSOCIATES I	· VO, TUNG T			
1047 EL CAN SUITE 201	MINO REAL		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MENLO PARK, CA 94025			2613		
			DATE MAILED: 12/21/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/823,509	FERNANDEZ ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Tung Vo	2613	

	lung vo	2613	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>03 November 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one se with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply me	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires <u>03</u> months from the mailing date	te of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further contains 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	• •		
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	somesperialing maniper of initially rej	ootoa olamio.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	will not be entered, or b) will will will will will will will	ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: <u>1-19</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a Ni d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s).	
13. Other:		i− /	
		hmm	
		Tung Vo	
		Primary Examiner	

Art Unit: 2613

Page 2

1. Applicant's arguments filed 11/03/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant argued that Hollenberg does not teach object identifier representing one or more goods in production, inventory, and shipment; a first object location and a time monitored provided by a detector, and second a second location and time monitored provide by sensor; and Wash does not teach "data structure... accessible for object-monitoring fro only one or more specified network site or processor", pages 6-9 of the remarks.

The examiner respectfully disagrees with that applicant. It is submitted that Hollenberg teach an object identifier (2b of fig. 4) representing one or more goods in production, inventory (6h, 6i, 3e.... of fig. 4; Note an information system with which a shopper can better serve himself or herself by, for example, determining product availability by querying a store's inventory, determining the price of products using a handheld multiple-use electronic device which includes a bar-code reading device, and electronically paying for the selected merchandise without requiring assistance from store personnel); a first object location and a time monitored such at location (32a-32c of fig. 1) provided by a detector (a detector as the transceiver A is stationary at a location and has a time wherein system (transmitter)for locating a transponder (receiver) using a satellite positioning system time signal as gating pulse for subsequent position determination by other receivers) coupled to the console-processing unit (2b of fig. 4); a second object location and a time monitored at such location (2c and 14k of fig. 6; Note wherein the portable device is mobile in a location and has a local time different from the first object location

Art Unit: 2613

and time monitored) provided by a sensor (camera, 9m of fig. 9). In view of the discussion above, the claimed features are unpatentable over Hollenberg.

Walsh teaches an order processing element (11 of fig. 1, Note the order processing element 111 includes memory locations for inventory, user information, delivery schedules, accounting information and the like, wherein the assigned memory locations in order processing element 111 may include e.g. user profiles such as account numbers, user device numbers and authentication codes and language preference (e.g., Spanish, English or Russian and the like) and includes e.g. prior ordering history; inventories of goods or services that can be ordered; delivery schedules (shipment schedules); and invoice, billing and collection histories) for identifying shipment and access means (120 of fig. 1, note the user device is highly versatile and provides simple, rapid, secure and encrypted, self-correcting, error-free, inexpensive, handheld unit to access, control, instruct, command, and query a host server) processes data structure securely using a digital certificate, watermark or encryption key (wherein the host server (110 of fig. 1) and the handheld device (120 of fig. 1) provide a method for establishing a bi-directional, secured, confidential, private and error-free telecommunication path to a host server and for commanding the host server along that communication path to encode, encrypt and transmit sensitive information in a secure manner to a host handheld low power user device), such that is accessible for object-monitoring only one or more specified network site ("Multimedia presentation" is intended to mean that the host server may be accessed using the user device and method of the invention) or processor (110 of fig. 1). In view of the discussion above, the claimed features are unpatentable over Walsh.

Art Unit: 2613

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tung Vo whose telephone number is 571-272-7340. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mehrdad Dastouri can be reached on 571-272-7418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tung Vo

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2613